C 1891-92.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92

~~192 —.~~

(E. R.)

File No.

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 – 14

Precis **Part B for** March 1894.

SUBJECT.

Petition of Ruttonjee Jeejeebhoy Patel, for Remission of Rent assessed on certain Alienated Villages granted to

---

Sd. 13.

Commencing the Official C.

Countersigned

WD 2223—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 702

From Pet 37

The Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 18th } Jne 1892.
Despatched }
Received }

Enclosures No. Rep: Proceedings & petition.

General No. 253

No. 2229

In reply to 53/P. Dated 30th May 1892

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on the petition from Puttegowda of Yadiur, Hassan Taluk, praying for the removal of a dam thrown by one Dasegowda across the Southern channel of petitioner's gardens &c.

Counter-Petitioner Dasegowda was permitted to restore or build the dam in question. This was in June 1889.

A sketch map showing the positions of the lands involved would make the matter clearer.

The Petitioner represents that he obtained damages in the Civil Courts for the injury sustained by him on account of the Counter building the dam. Copies of the Civil Court judgments have not been produced.

Whether the Petitioner moved the Civil Courts for an injunction for removing the dam is not clear.

The matter appears to be more of a Civil nature.

Submitted to the Chief Secretary for Orders.

23/7/92 Rep: & Petn returned. Please furnish the Revenue Survey sketch of the village in question.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the same No 16th P. No 101-2-3 dy 23/7/92

To — do — No 18293 dy 5/8/92 P. No 101

To Chief Engr's No 15-244 P. No 101-1-2

Petn Bo Quick 1892

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

Order

The point for determination is Whether the new channel is as efficient as the old one for the purpose of draining appellants lands Nos 11, 12 & 13.

(Which depends upon the question for

As further information is necessary for a decision upon this point, the Dy Comr will be requested to cause

Vide reference & para 8 of the Order passed by the Regl Commr on the petition of Venkatadasa & others, dated 5th July 1892 for the decision of the Appeal, Venkatadasa contends that the flow of the new channel cut by Respondents Narasimha was higher than that of the old channel [illegible] and that in consequence his lands (Nos 12 and 13) were liable to be damaged. [illegible] a fresh enquiry in particular with reference to the [illegible] to be replaced by a competent Revenue Officer of Dist., and transmitted to

the office as early as practicable:—

(1) Fields Nos. 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19 and 20.

(2) The old channel past the town

(3) The dam in question.

(4) The new channel and Regent St. to service the land.

(5) The relative level of the floor of these channels.

(6) The level of the crest of the dam referred to

(7) The general level of the fields Nos. 13 and 19.

The survey map of the boundaries is desired only for reference, it to be returned with the plan requested.

The expenses incurred in any remuneration (including travelling expenses) which the Dept. considers may be paid for the work to be done and ~~the~~ required to prepare the plan.

By order

Chief Secretary.

Copies to Ch. Engr Lands & Ch. Engr with letter as to that newspaper matters may come to the Ed

AT 5/5/25

(10)

The ~~Dam~~ Survey plan ordered in
Bo: 7 C 18293 & 3 May 893 has been ~~received~~ &
07.101-92
Carefully Considered. The Govt. are
quite satisfied that no injury can be
Caused to the Appellants' lands, by
the Dam of which he Complains.
This ~~the~~ Dam is across a Govt.
~~feeder~~ Channel feeding a Govt
tank. ~~Commencing~~ ~~The level of the~~
~~Weir~~ ~~W.W. of the tank is 50~~
The W.W. of ~~the~~ Tank is 50' R I.
The Course of the old feeder
Channel was along part of the
S. boundary of the Appellants'
land at R.L. 52.98 The
dam of which the reduced level
is 55.78 is at the extreme
S.E Corner of Appellants' land,
and the old Channel
flows from E to W, the dam
and the Revd new Channel from
it, so far from preventing
~~the preventing~~, is calculated
~~to help~~ the proper drainage
of Appellants' lands. The
Appellants allegation of
imperfect drainage of his land
owing to the Dam in question
is altogether unfounded &
his Appeal is accordingly dismissed.

to improve

29.1.94.

* The ~~Govt~~ Dam is necessary
in the Rev. interests of the Govt
and the Govt. in whom vests the
absolute right to its Construction
legally sanctions its Continuance.

The decree passed by the
Civil Ct which came up
on A.a Bs. 378 & 3858
before me when confirmed
fixing the right of Pattesonia (Plff)
to proceed by Summons for
fixing the dam — The order now
passed is to proceed on summons
for the left to right bank the dam —
Plff again go to the Civil Ct. & will probably
succeed on the strength of the former application
which will be a bar to this again resting the
Plff's claim for the matter may, in appeal or
respondendi, [illegible] Civil proceeds I would leave the decree as
Re-appeal to my Colleagues. This may be sent to
Revenue Council ——

Yes - I agree - But the Civil Ct.
has altogether wrong & their wrong
decision can't bind the Govt —
~~which was no part in the suit~~
To guide the Civil Ct. in any future
suit I have added a last para —

19. 2. 94.

Subm. to the Revenue Council —
20/2

1

(10)

I have no objection whatever to the order going out as drafted by the Deputy. The Municipality adjudged damages, because the Amildar stated that "the dam was newly built without his orders" no such damages would be sanctioned if the Sanction of Govt is proved. The right of Govt to regulate water courses is undoubted.

Of course a suit may be brought against Govt itself for damages, & we will have to defend ourselves & prove that no loss has accrued or could accrue

by the construction
of the dam. If
we fail to prove
we will have to
pay damages.
Since the Dewan
is satisfied, that
no loss was caused
I take it granted
that we can prove the
fact.

26/2/94

(1) Stamp Correct
(2) Appeal in time
(3) Order p2o Dues
Call for
Rops
with a report
RD.
4/
25/5/92

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 59

Name of Petitioner. Patte Gowda son of Kalé Gowda

Village. Yadure. Hassan Hobli

Taluk. Hassan

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 6th April 1892.

Date of Receipt.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Three

ABSTRACT. Subject

Petitioner owns 3 gardens S. Nos 11, 12 & 13, contiguous with one another & situated in the village of Dodda Hommé na-halli, Hassan Taluk.

It is stated that one Patel Dasé Gowda of Little Hommé-nahalli threw a dam across the Southern channel of petitioner's garden thereby obstructing the flow of the water in the Eastern channel. This did immense mischief to petitioner's lands.

It is now prayed that

the order of the Dy Comm^r,
Has an Act which, it is stated,
for the increase of Revenue
from dry lands that can be
converted into wet with the
help of this dam at the risk
of injury, petitioner be
set aside and the dam thereon
by counter-petitioner removed.

K.
59.
108

En 21 cases. Be

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 59
dated 6th April 92 received at the
Dewan's Office from Putta Sowda, &c.,
of Kale Sowda of Yadur
Hassan Taluk, praying
for the removal of a dam
thrown by one Kare Sowda
across the Southern channel
of Yeddanur Yaddanu
is forwarded, together with its 4
enclosures, to the Deputy Commr
of Hassan Dist for submission
of Proceedings and for a
report on the facts of the
case for the Govt's information on
the petition
2 The petition should be returned

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE
30 January 1892

59 R

Received & filed in the Registry 1-4-92

Pet Dept No 13 92-93

6/4/92

To

The Dewan & His Highness the Maha Rajah of Mysore.

Appeal Petition No of 1892

Petitioner.		Counter Petitioner
Putte Gowda, son of Kale Gowda residing at Yadur, Hassan Hobli, Hassan Taluk.	vs	Dase Gowda, Patel of Chikka Honnenahalli Hassan Hobli, Hassan Taluk.

The humble Petition of the above-named Petitioner Putte Gowda.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

1 That your Petitioner owns 3 gardens bearing survey Nos 11, 12 and 13 situated at Dodda Honnenahalli Hassan Taluk. These 3 gardens are contiguous to one another. Around these 3 gardens there have been Channels from time immemorial. The Channel that runs along the Eastern side of the Petitioner's gardens brings down with it water from above and carries it down by the Southern Channel that runs along the southern side of Petitioner's garden to until it reaches the Tank No: 22

13

2. That the Counter-Petitioner does possess a wet land survey No: 19 between which and the Petitioner's garden other lands belonging to third parties intervene.

3. That the Counter-Petitioner has got a source of supply of water to his land No: 19 by means of a main Channel that runs along the Eastern side of Survey Nos 9. 10. and 14. The said main Channel branches off towards the west and flows on to the Counter-Petitioner's land No: 19 ~~and~~ in a southern direction on the borders of Survey Nos 15 and 18.

4. That there exists ill-will between the parties and in consequence of such ill-will, the Counter-Petitioner maliciously and with a view to do injury to the Petitioner's garden, trespassed upon and threw a dam across the Southern Channel of Petitioner's garden just at the point where the Eastern Channel bends towards the west and thus obstructed the flow of water in the Eastern Channel. The consequence of it was that the water in the Eastern Channel was drawn backwards and rose high and escaped into into the Petitioner's garden and did immense mischief.

5th That the Petitioner, in consequence of the injury thus done to him, sued the Counter-Petitioner in O.S. No 500 of 1887 on the file of the Munsiff of Hassan and obtained a Decree for damages; Thereupon the Counter-Petitioner preferred a regular Appeal and then a second appeal respectively in A.S. No 117 of 1888 on the file of the Sub Judge of Mysore and in S.A. No 138 of 1888 on the file of the Chief Court and the Munsiff's decree was confirmed in both the said Courts.

6 That the Counter-Petitioner, seeing that he became unsuccessful in his litigation in the Civil Courts, attempted to carry on his litigation in a different Course altogether i.e. on the Revenue side. In such an attempt, he won over the Taluk officials to his side and with their help began again to do the very same injury to the Petitioner, that is, the Counter-Petitioner again threw a dam across the very same Channel and in the very same spot.

7 That the Petitioner then Complained to the Deputy Commissioner of the

injury alone to him by the Counter-Petitioner with the active help of the Police officials. The Petitioner's Complaint having been referred to the Amildar for ~~disposal~~ report, the Amildar, Notwithstanding the existence of the Civil Court's decrees having been brought to his notice by the Petitioner, refused to direct the removal of the dam and reported to the Deputy Commissioner that the existence of the dam does not in any way do injury to the Petitioner's garden. How interested the Amildar is to support this cause of the Counter-Petitioner and how defiantly disposed he is towards the orders of the Civil Courts can be clearly seen from his report itself. The Deputy Commissioner, who, it is clear from his own order, cares more for the increase of revenue from ~~the~~ dry lands that can be converted into wet ~~by~~ with the help of this dam, at the risk of injury to the Petitioner, than for redressing the real grievance of the Petitioner, it is needless to say, confirmed the Amildar's opinion that the dam should not be removed. This order of the Deputy Commissioner seems to have

been passed on the 31st of Decr 1891.
The ~~obtaining~~ preparation of the Copy of the said
Order having occupied 8 days, this appeal
is within time.

The Petitioner therefore most
humbly and respectfully
prays that the order of the
Deputy Commissioner of Hassan
may be reversed and that the
dam thrown by Counter-Pets
with the active help of the
Taluk officials may be ordered
to be removed.

And your Petitioner, as in
duty bound,
shall ever pray

+ illegible
illegible

N.B. The Pets herewith produce the
Authenticated Copies of the Commrs
Report and of Comrs Orders thereon

No. 59

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
189 .

Endorsement granted to [illegible] in reply to his Petition Registered No. 59 dated [illegible].

Petitioner is informed that [illegible] copies of judgments in the Courts of the Munsiff and the Sub-judge and the Chief Court when [illegible] in the petition to Govt.

"By Order,"

[illegible]
Chief Secretary.

Issued 30/7.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To The Chief Engr.

Dated 8 Augt

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 18294
Pol. & No. [illegible]

References to be marked.

From the Commr No 3961 & 6284.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

To

The Chief Engineer & Agent
Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to forward for your information
and guidance a copy of the accompanying
Order passed in Appeal No. 3 of 1915
(Exhibitum Cattle Cruelty Case
No. 1 of 1915 Cuddapah) directing the
acquittal of the accused, and
to request that the Executive Engineer
will be good enough to issue
necessary instructions to the
Executive Engineer, Hagari Dn.
in regard to the license fee realised since
the Act came into force
which should be
made available
for the purpose.

I have &c.

Encl. 1 Order.
(Records sent)

for Chief Secretary.
3/5

Recd 4/5

702

Pet. 37

(3)

No. 253 and Rec'd

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Hassan district.

Dated 18th July 1892.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your Tenjad Memorandum No. 53 Dated 30th May last, forwarding for report and submission of Proceedings, the petition of Bettégowda of Yadi-yoor, in the Hassan Taluk, praying that the dam thrown by one Dasségowda may be ordered to be removed &c., I have the honor to state that, on 13th of March

March 1886, Daségowda (the counter-petitioner) Patel of Chikka-honnénahalli village complained to the Amildar that, owing to the Aré irrigating his lands having breached, he had sustained loss and its reconstruction had been objected to by the villagers of Pura. He also prayed for the remission of Kandayam. The Amildar endorsed on the application to the effect that no remission could be granted and that the Aré in question should be repaired by the villagers

2— The counter-petitioner Daségowda and another, holding lands under the Aré again complained to the Amildar that the

the Ané in question was removed by Paakégowda (the Petitioner). The Amildar appears to have in- -spected the locality and gave them an endorsement to the effect that, owing to the "Raya- -galway" or main channel of the Chikkahonnávahalli Tank having been encroached upon and silted up, the lands in question could not be irrigated thereby and that the Ané should remain as it was, for irrigating the lands.

3 — On the application pre- -sented by Daségowda en- -closing copies of the above endorsements, on 5th May 1838, the Amildar was asked to report as to the nature of the work

work proposed to be carried out.
The Amildar reported that, he
inspected the tank and the
lands, that from the two
numbers under the tank a
revenue of Rs 29 would accrue.
Of the two numbers Survey
No. 27 of Doddahanumanahalli —
village could not be irrigated
by any other means except
the tank in question, the construc-
tion of which was objected to
by the Petitioner (Poddegowda).
The reasons assigned by him were
that his land Survey no 13
would be ruined by moisture
as the water in the channel
by the side of the above land
would rise high by the tank
and

and overflow his lands. The Construction of the Ané would not cost much and that " Dasségowda would himself Con- -struct the same, in the place between Survey nos 13 and 16 where marks of an old Ané exist, within 15 days from the date of order.

4 — Upon this report of the Amildar, orders were issued on 22d January 1889 that Dasségowda may be permitted to build the Ané and that a report should be submitted after the lapse of one year as to the advisability or other- -wise in the matter. Further that arrangements should also

also be made for giving out
half of Survey No 126 applied 26
for duly pleaded.—

5— The Amildar reported
on 11th March 1890 after en-
-quiring the lands and the Avé,
that the Avé irrigated not only
the lands of Dadu Gowda but
also of another and that fair
crops were raised thereon. He
further reported that he was
informed of the crops in Poolé
-Gowda's lands having rotten
to a certain extent but he
observed the fenugreek crops
thereon thriving well. The copies
of Judgments obtained by Poolé
-Gowda in the Special appeal
case filed by him in the Chief
Court

Court against the Judgments of
Lower Courts were also submitted
by the Muhidar, the same having
been presented to him by the
Petitioner. These were since re-
-turned to him on his applica-
-tion.

6 — On the 29th October last
Poothégowda presented an appli-
-cation to me complaining
that no orders were passed on
the subject of removing the
tué in question as is being
urged by him. This applica-
-tion was sent to the Muhidar
with orders to report as to
how the matter stood. The
Muhidar, in reply reported that
he inspected the lands and
the tué in the presence of
both

both parties and that Paulé-
gowda is not justified in his
Complaint for reasons noted
below:-

7- That there are two Anés
built by Paulégowda himself
across the channel in question,
higher up northward to the
Ané of Dasségowda prayed
for by him to be removed, that
should it be a fact that the
Ané built by Dasségowda -
damages his lands the same
reason should be urged for
the two Anés built by him -
and which Paulégowda wants
to preserve and urges only for
the removal of the Ané built
by Dasségowda, probably intend-
-ing to take up the lands now
owned

owned by the latter some time after (vide pages 63 and 64 attached to the file).

8— On receipt of this report, I in company with the Executive Engineer inspected the lands and the Aru's above alluded to and found that Paalégowda's land was high up and the Aru was far below and would never damage his land as alleged by him. Mr. T. Nagarattan, the Executive Engineer was also of the same opinion in the matter. Paalégowda was accordingly given an endorsement and the Amildar directed to see the Aru maintained by Daségowda and also to have the other lands commanded by this Aru, brought under

Cultivation

cultivation and thus increase the Revenue under the Act in question.

9— I beg to state that the points urged by Petitioner Poddé. -ferwda are not at all worthy of consideration in as much as his sole intention is to cause loss to his neighbour Dasségowda.—

10— The Vernacular papers ending with Amildar's report No. 137/1166 on the subject are herewith submitted and the Petition together with its accompa. -niments are also herewith returned.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H. Dy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar &c.

Dated 28
Despatched 30
Received

Enclosures No. one file of
papers

General No. 1612
Pers[l] No. 131-92-3

Ref. to last order -

Dep[y] Com[r] to be requested to submit the village map bill - date his report & the record.

Ryot to be informed to pay - Nine Copies of the Civil Court proceedings when on

The village map received is put up - Sur. nos. 11, 12, & 13 (Shreekantha) of Devihonnahalli belong to the Peta or Pete Poote [illegible] & Sur. nos. 9, 10 to the Counter

Waiting for the village map showing the position of the said Survey nos. and report of the Amanibandi Tahsildar.

Lundo.

References to be marked.

From the same Rs 316 & 40-8-92

Le Cy. Comm, Regent St.

—x—

Re. Lease of Griffin
reporting on petition of
Wm Gerrish & others, asking
that removal of
Island, praying for a dam
across the channel running
along the southern side of
said Island &c.

—x—

With reference to the
above matter I return the record in the case and
request that the Cy. Comm
with. Could commit neces-
sary matter to writing
his report.

By order
Chief
(A. Surveyor)
Chief Surv

Pet & Fr 1891 of 92

(General Serial No. 30)

1892. 1678

Pet 85

From

The Dewan Peishcar
Kaptan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 12 August 1892

Despatched 11

Received

Enclosures No. 1 to 4

1 P. & 1 Village Map separately

General No. 316.
No. " of 1892

1/8/92

Ref.

Chief Says order -
Pet^r Cowe the requested to
submit the Village Map to
illustrate his report & the
record.

Pet^r Boote Jauda & be
informed to produce Copies
of the Civil Courts proceedings
relies on.

The Village Map required is
sent.
Survey Nos 11, 12, & 13 (gardens)
belong to the Petn^r
" " 19 & the Compⁿ.
" No 19 Pet^r Dase Jauda.

Copies of the proceedings have
been produced.

Pet^r Boote Jauda filed his Counter-
Pet^r Dase Jauda in the Hassan
Mf^s Court for damages resulting
from the Counter-petitioners acts
of trespass in one / removing
so as to / immediate Petn^r's land
No 13. The Suit has decreed
in Pet^r favour, the amount of
damage allowed being Rs 5/.
This was in March 1888. The
The Sub. Mag^r affirmed
Lower Court proceedings & Pet^r
The Chf. Court dismiss Dase Jauda's
2^d Appeal. D/ 7/6/1889.
The Civil Courts form Mat-
ters being Dase Jauda leaving placed
a dam across the Channel
surrounding Petn^r's garden, the
water

15/8/92

First reference to
Chief Secretary &c
dated 25th August
last the Commissioner
must be asked
the village map of
the disputed premises
Hassan District, in
separate file case.
The map is enclosed
with reference.

Yours &c

Dewan Peishcar
Kaptan District

Petn^r
Nov 13/8

over flowed the bunds of the Channel & escaped into the Petit's garden & caused damage to the extent of £5.

The Petit did not in the Court ask for the removal of the Ané.

The Dyson states that the Petit is not satisfied in asking for the removal of the Ané for the following reasons —

(1) Boote Gardner himself has thrown 2 Anés across the Channel higher up northward than the Ané of Desé Gardner

(2) Boote Gardner's land is high up and the Ané far low below the Executive Engineer who also inspected the lands in company with the Dyson is of the same opinion

(3) No injury will be caused to the Petit's land by the Ané built by Dase Gardner.

The Dyson did not therefore order the removal of the Ané - vide Dyson's order of 31 Dec 1891 & the Anés -

Desé Gardner contends that he constructed the Ané with the permission of the Rev. Mr Medley & produces certain manuscripts Copies & prays for a hearing - vide Petn N.D. 439.

8/10.8.92.

Submitted to Mr Chief Secretary with Refs.

Sir W. Wilkinson

Orders - May he desired that counsel

3/11/92

Posted for hearing on 27th April 93.

Recd 28/3

24

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To By Comr. Hassan Dt.

Dated 3rd
Despatched 5th } May 1893
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1829 3
P&F No. 107-92

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

Read
Revenue Dept
Letter No 253 dt 18.7.92.
from the Dy Comr, Hassan Distt.
submitting report on the petn
of Smt Gowda of Gadur, Hassan
Taluk, praying for the removal
of a dam put up by Race Gowda
& Others.

Papers thereon
Papers are sent to the Dy Collector.

2. The point for determination
is whether the new channel is
as efficient as the old one for
the purpose of draining Appellants lands Nos 11, 12 & 13.

3. As further information
is necessary for a decision
upon this point, the Dy Comr
will be requested to cause
a plan showing the particulars
noted below to be prepared
by a competent subordinate
officer & submitted
to this office as early as practicable :-

(1) Fields Nos 10, 6, 10 & 12, 13,
14, 17, 18, 19 and &c.

(2) The old channel going to
the tank,

(3) The dam in question.

as the order to be passed
after hearing parties
and hearing on personal
petition, & drafts it in
the shape of an interlocutory
Council order.

(4) The new channel dug by Govt. to irrigate his land.

(5) The relative level of the floor of these channels.

(6) The level of the crest of the dam referred to.

(7) The general level of the fields Nos. 18 and 19.

3. The survey-map of Rod Nonnanhalli is herewith sent for reference, to be returned with the plan now called for.

5. The Appellant Rabbe Gowda will pay any remuneration (including travelling expenses) which the Dy. Comr. may fix for the officer to be deputed to prepare the plan above called for.

Re-draft.

S.V.

Chief Secretary.

L.

The Dy. Comr., Hassan
with the survey map.

P.7.101-92

1893/94 3334

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

P. 149

No. 3961

From

The ~~Superintending~~ Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 5th October 93

Despatched

Enclosure No.

Subject.

Forwarding for information copy of letter dtd 1st inst. from the Secretary Commissioner Kolar District intimating that the services of a Civil Engineer is not required for the preparation of a plan for laying down a system of Sewerage for the Municipality of Kolar but that the services of a Sanitary Engineer will be required for the purpose.

P. Peter

5.9.93

(General Serial No. 31).

1893.

From The Assistant Commissioner
Hazara District

To The Executive Engineer
Hazara Division.

Dated 10th August 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 42
No. D.P.W.

In reply to his memo
No. 3[illegible] dated 12th
instant, I am to request
inform the Executive
Engineer that necessary
orders are taken by
the Assistant Commissioner
and the plan is under
preparation and that
hereafter the service of
a D.P.W. Sub-ordinate
for this work is not required.

Signed, Assistant Commissioner
Assistant Commissioner
Hazara District.

No. 3961

Signal P. W. Department.
Central Office.
Bangalore 5th October 1892.

Memo:

Copy forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore for information with reference to his office Memo dated 3rd Octr 1892. 101-92

Lieut. Colonel
Chief Engineer & Secretary.

Chief Engineer & Secretary.

No 295 2 enclo sent separately.
Revd 8

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan District

Dated Hassan 8 October 1893

From,

P. L. Punnaiya Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir

With reference to Government Proceedings* No 182-93/101-92 dated 3rd May last, requesting certain information on the appeal petition of Siddegowda of Yedoor, Hassan Taluk, praying for the removal of a dam put up by Respondent Daregowdah, I have the honor to forward herewith a Plan prepared by Taluk Surveyor containing the information required. —

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

P. Punnaiya

Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

* 1 enclosure returned.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

1893. P243.

From

The Commissioner, Kaparda Division

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 8th
Despatched 8th } October
Received

Enclosures No. 2 enclos sent in parcel (map

General No. 295.
No.

In reply to No: 182-93/101-92 Dated 3rd May 1893

SUBJECT.

Submitting certain information connected with the petition of Patiyanda of Nedvan, Kaparda Taluk &c.

Secretary
Dewan

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

(12)

1899

No. 1354

Name of Petitioner Partha Gowda

Village Yedigur

Taluk

District Hassan

Date of Arzi 6. 2. 99

Date of Receipt 11. 2. 99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT

State that in connection with petn no 57 of 3-4-92 and in pursuance of Govt Order dt 30-7-92 he had submitted some Govt records and also some papers concerning his case & that he is in need of the said papers for reference, in Civil Suit Nos 8 of 98-99 before the Munsiff.

Prays for issue of the same.

Y.S.Q.

14-2-99

R 15.2.99

1354

1356/R
11-2-93

One anna stamp affixed only.

11.2.93

ನಂ. (13)

439-1854

ಹುಜೂರು ನಿವಾಸ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ———— ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ———— ಲ್ಲಿ

ಇರುವ ———— ಗೆ ಇಂತಾರನ ಮುಕುಂ

———— ನೇ ಮುಲ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ

———— ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಾಗಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

The Secretary.

Proceedings of the Government in Council the Maharaja of Ellapore

Read

1 Petition no 59 received from Dutta Gowda of Gadur, Kasaba Taluk requesting orders for the removal of a Dam thrown by one Rama Gowda across a channel near his garden

(2) Mr Deputy Commissioner's report and Sarcumstances received with letter no 253 dated 18th July 1892 from the Assistant Hassan district

(3) the plan called for & received with letter no 295 dated 8th October 1893 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan district

No 14882 dated 13/94
Per Fy 1901-1928

Order thereon.

The Survey plan ordered in Proceedings no 8293 / P 7.101-92 dated 3rd May 1893 has been received and carefully considered. The Government are quite satisfied that no injury can be caused to the Appellant's lands, by the Dam of which he complains. This Dam is across a Government channel feeding a Government tank. The water-mean of tank is 5 & R.L.

~~R. L.~~ The course of the old feeder channel was along part of the Southern boundary of the Appellants' land, at R.L. 32.98. The dam from which the widened level is 55.78 is at the extreme South-east corner of Appellants' land, and the old channel flows from East to West, the dam and the Respondents' new channel from it, so far from preventing, is calculated to improve the proper drainage of Appellants' land. The Appellants' allegation of imperfect drainage of his land, owing to the dam in question is altogether unfounded and his appeal is accordingly dismissed.

The dam is necessary in the Revenue interests of the Government and the Government do not even ask that absolute right to the construction thereof should be maintained.

2/3/94

By Order
Sd
for Chief Secretary.

Copy
Chief Secretary
29/2

To the Clerk Kassan District Court.

No. 59
A 13

8-3-94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

2nd March 1894

Endorsement granted to Putta Gowda of Yadiur, Hassan Taluk.

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 59 A 13 dated without date and received on 6th April 92 appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan dismissing his appeal No. 3761 of 1891-92, regarding the damming up by Dasa Gowda across the channel flowing South of his garden

Petitioner is informed that his appeal ~~is~~ dismissed. The Government are quite satisfied that no injury can be caused to ~~the~~ Petitioner's lands by the dam of which he complains, and ~~that~~ the dam ~~is~~ does not affect any of the Revenue interests of Government. ~~the said sentence is confirmed~~

The enclosures are returned

"By Order"

Draft
MU

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.



N

35

1

2

VILLAGE

36

30

29

31

SURVEY PLAN

OF

DODAHONNAYNAHULLI

HASSAN TALUK

Scale 220 one Inch



Levelled & Plotted
by
F. Fairweather
2-10-93



Dimensions of Dam 34 x 3 x 1½

R. L. of dam 55.78

2

/

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

HASSAN DISTRICT